wojde.org

International Women Online Journal of Distance Education ISSN: 2147-0367

October 2021

Volume 10 Number 2

http://www.wojde.org





October, 2021 Volume: 10 Issue: 2 Editorial Board ISSN: 2147-0367

Honoured Editorial Board of the int.WOJDE (Ordered in Last Name Based)

Prof. Dr. Cevat Alkan, The pioneer of educational technology in DE in Turkey (Turkey)
Prof. Dr. John Bååth, The well-known Swedish distance educator (Sweden)
Prof. Dr. Tony Bates, Father of distance education in Canada (Canada)
Prof. Dr. Yılmaz Buyukersen, The founder of distance education in Turkey (Turkey)
Prof. Dr. Chris Curran, The founder director of National DE Centre in Ireland (Ireland)
Prof. Dr. Ohrere Campbell Gibson, She studied for DE all her life, Emerita Professor (USA)
Prof. Dr. Ugur Demiray, The pioneer of educational technology in DE in Turkey (Turkey)
Prof. Dr. Börje Holmberg, Studied for Distance Education, Emeritus Professor (Sweden)
Prof. Dr. Zeki Kaya, The pioneer of educational technology in DE in Turkey (Turkey)
Prof. Dr. Marina Mc Isaac, She studied for DE all her life, Emerita Professor (USA)
Prof. Dr. James Maraj The pioneer of the open university movement (Australia)
Emeriti Prof. Dr. Christine von PRUMMER Emerita Professor (Germany)
Prof. Dr. Charles Wedmeyer, The pioneer of distance education in World (USA)

Founder of int.Wojde (Turkey)

Prof. Dr. Uğur Demiray, Anadolu University, Turkey

Editor-in-Chief of int.WOJDE (Turkey)

Prof. Dr. Emine Demiray, Anadolu University, Turkey Postal address: Anadolu University, Open Education Faculty Yunusemre Campus 26470 Eskisehir-TURKEY Tel: +90 (222) 335 05 80 ext.5829 Fax:+90 (222) 320 45 20 E-mails: intwojde@gmail.com or edemiray@anadolu.edu.tr

Executive Editor

Ufuk Tanyeri, Ankara University, Turkey, ufuktanyeri@ankara.edu.tr

Co-Editors-in-Chief (Ordered in Last Name Based)

Gökhan DAĞHAN, Hacettepe University, Turkey, <u>gokhand@hacettepe.edu.tr</u> Salih Demir, Ankara University, Turkey, <u>sedmir@ankara.edu.tr</u> Salih Erdurucan, Ankara University, Turkey, <u>serdurucan@ankara.edu.tr</u> Mürsel Ozan İNCETAŞ, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat University, TURKEY, <u>ozan.incetas@alanya.edu.tr</u> Mahmut KILIÇASLAN, Ankara University, Turkey, <u>m.kilicaslan@ankara.edu.tr</u>

Language Editor

Yonca ÖZATKAN, Turkish Institute for Health Policies TÜSPE, Ankara, Turkey.

Editorial Board (ordered last name alphabetically)

Fahriye ALTINAY AKSAL, Near East University, CYPRUS Zehra ALTINAY GAZI, Near East University, CYPRUS Petek ASKAR, Hacettepe University, TURKEY Carmencita L. CASTOLO, Polytechnic University, PHILIPPINES Xanthie CHOULIARA, Primary School of Highland Zone, GREECE Gökhan DAĞHAN, Hacettepe University, TURKEY Shivakumar DEENE, Central University of Karnataka, INDIA Salih DEMİR, Ankara University, Turkey



International Women Online Journal of Distance Education



October, 2021 Volume: 10 Issue: 2 Editorial Board ISSN: 2147-0367

Emine DEMIRAY, Anadolu University, TURKEY Sangeeta N DHAMDHERE, Modern College of Arts, Science and Commerce, INDIA Hisham DZAKIRIA, Universiti Utara Malaysia, MALAYSIA Salih ERDURUCAN, Ankara University, Turkey Helen FARLEY, University of Southern Queensland, AUSTRALIA Francis GLASGOW, Institute of Distance and Continuing Education, GUYANA Rüchan GOKDAG, Anadolu University, TURKEY Sevinc GULSECEN, Istanbul University, TURKEY R. E. (Bobby) HARREVELD, CQUniversity, AUSTRALIA Rozhan M. IDRUS, Universiti Sains Malavsia, MALAYSIA Mürsel Ozan İNCETAŞ, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat University, TURKEY Asha KANWAR, Commonwealth of Learning, CANADA Paul KAWACHI, Open University of China, CHINA Mahmut KILIÇASLAN, Ankara University, Turkey KINSHUK, Athabasca University, CANADA Gill KIRKUP, Institute of Educational Technology, UNITED KINGDOM Ene KOITLA, Information Technology Foundation for Education, ESTONIA Piet KOMMERS, University of Twente, NETHERLANDS Natalija LEPKOVA, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, LITHUANIA Carl Edwin LINDGREN, International College, USA Tamar LOMINADZE, Georgian Technical University, GEORGIA Maria MADIOPE, Unisa, SOUTH AFRICA Ismail Hakkı MIRICI, Near East University, CYPRUS Sanjaya MISHRA, Indira Gandhi National Open University, INDIA Boriss MISNEVS, Transport and Telecommunication Institute, LATVIA H. Ferhan ODABASI, Anadolu University, TURKEY Sibel OKTAR, Ozyegin University, TURKEY Santosh PANDA, Indira Gandhi National Open University, INDIA Maria PANNATIER, MESI, RUSSIA Mirjana RADOVIC-MARKOVIC, Institute of Economic Sciences, SERBIA Mediha SAGLIK TERLEMEZ, Anadolu University, TURKEY Simon STOBART, Teesside University, UK Ufuk TANYERI, Ankara University, Turkey Norine WARK, Athabasca University, CANADA Gonca TELLİ YAMAMOTO, Okan University, TURKEY Mohammad YAMIN, King Abdulaziz University, SAUDI ARABIA Ian DAVID THOMAS, TURKEY Sevil UZOGLU BAYCU, Anadolu University, TURKEY H. Güçlü YAVUZCAN, Gazi University, TURKEY

Contact Addresses of the int.WOJDE

Owner of the int.WOJDE

Prof. Dr. Emine DEMIRAY

Postal Address: Anadolu University, Open Education Faculty, Yunusemre Campus 26470 Eskisehir-TURKEY Phone: +90 222 335 0581 ext. 5829, Fax:+90 (222) 320 45 20 E-mails: <u>intwojde@gmail.com</u> or <u>edemiray@anadolu.edu.tr</u>

Executive Editor

Lecturer Dr. Ufuk Tanyeri

Postal Address: Ankara University, 06920 Nallihan, Ankara TURKEY Email: <u>ufuktanyeri@ankara.edu.tr</u>

Copyright © int.WOJDE 2012



International Women Online Journal of Distance Education



October, 2021 Volume: 10 Issue: 2 Contents ISSN: 2147-0367

CONTENTS

From the Editor-in-Chief	
Prof. Dr. Emine DEMIRAY	iv

ARTICLE/S

MITIGATING THE MATILDA EFFECT ON STARR ROXANNE HILTZ: A SUPERLATIVE EARLY ONLINE LEARNING RESEARCHER

lilary SCHMIDT	
usan BAINBRIDGE	
lorine WARK	
thabasca University,	
anada	1

DISTANCE EDUCATION IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC PROCESS: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES STUDENTS

Müjgan ERSOY,	
Belgin BOZ YÜKSEKDAĞ	
Anadolu University,	
Turkey	





October, 2021 Volume: 10 Issue: 2 From the Editor ISSN: 2147-0367

From the Editor

Dear readers of intWOJDE

Dear readers of intWOJDE

We present our Volume: 10, Number: 2 issue to our valuable readers.

In this issue, there are two articles. The first article prepared by Hilary SCHMIDT, Dr. Susan BAINBRIDGE and Dr. Norine WARK and entitled "Mitigating the Matilda Effect on Starr Roxanne Hiltz: A Superlative Early Online Learning Researcher". It is briefly reviewed the contributions of Starr Roxanne Hiltz and her early contributions to the social and psychological understanding of computer conferencing or computer-mediated communication, online communities, and online learning in this article.

The second article entitled "Distance Education in the Covid-19 Pandemic Process: Information Technologies Students" is written by Müjgan ERSOY and Dr. Belgin BOZ YÜKSEKDAĞ. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the views of 10th grade students studying in the field of information technologies regarding distance education during the Covid-19 pandemic process. In this study, which aims to get the opinions of vocational high school Information Technologies students on distance education, it has been determined that the students did not want to continue their education through distance education.

We would be very happy to publish your studies on women and distance education in our journal. We hope to stay in touch and wish to meet in our next Issue, on April 2022.

Cordially,

Prof. Dr. Emine DEMIRAY Editor in Chief





MITIGATING THE MATILDA EFFECT ON STARR ROXANNE HILTZ: A SUPERLATIVE EARLY ONLINE LEARNING RESEARCHER

Hilary SCHMIDT <u>hschmidt1@athabasca.edu</u> Athabasca University, Canada

Susan BAINBRIDGE susanbainbridge@athabascau.ca Athabasca University, Canada

Norine WARK <u>norinewark@gmail.com</u> Education, Technology, & Research Consultant, Canada

ABSTRACT

The Matilda effect refers to the systematic under-recognition of women's accomplishments in scientific fields (Rossiter, 1993), which we propose extends into additional fields coded male within our culture, such as educational technology and distance education. In the current paper, we attempt to reverse the Matilda effect by bringing to light the accomplishments of an early female online learning researcher, Starr Roxanne Hiltz, whose work spans the fields of information and computing technology, sociology, online learning, and beyond. We briefly review Hiltz's biographical background before exploring her major achievements, which include her 1978 publication of a seminal work, The Network Nation. Named the best technical-scientific publication of the year by the Association of American Publishers, The Network Nation is based on Hiltz's extensive research with one of the world's first computer conferencing systems and its use by academic scientific communities. This exploration of virtual communities, and in particular Hiltz's focus on their social-emotional and psychological elements (rather than technological affordances), was arguably the first research of its kind. Subsequent publications further explore computer-mediated communication, online communities, and online learning; in fact, Hiltz was the creator of the world's first functional "virtual classroom"-what we would now call a learning management system. We conclude that Hiltz's omission from histories of online learning, as well as standard textbooks and other works focused on distance education, provide evidence of the Matilda effect within this field.

Keywords: Unconscious bias, Matilda effect, women researchers, distance education, educational technology, computer-mediated communication, online learning

INTRODUCTION

Within the field of distance education, several theories may be considered foundational (Picciano, 2017). For example, Moore's (1997) transactional distance theory, Garrison et al.'s (2000) Community of Inquiry framework, and Knowles' (1984) adult education theory are all instantly familiar to students of distance education, and form the backbone of numerous courses and textbooks in this area (e.g., Anderson, 2008). It is intriguing to note, however, that this list—however expanded—is composed largely from the work of men.

Strikingly, prior to embarking on this project, this gender imbalance had never consciously been noticed by the authors: it is evidently so normalized that it was not even perceived as an indicator of the cultural power of the stereotype of the male scientist or researcher (Makarova et al., 2019; Miller et al., 2014; Nosek et al., 2009). This stereotype is related to numerous forms of unconscious bias. For example, both adults and children associate





brilliance or genius with men, rather than women, a finding hypothesized to partially account for the underrepresentation of women in fields such as philosophy or STEM (Storage et al., 2020). A second innate bias that may affect women's representation in the sciences is related their subjective self-evaluation of their performance, which inhibits women's ability to promote themselves to others (Exley & Kessler, 2019). In fact, by grade six, boys are better at self-promotion than girls are. This gender gap increases with age. Furthermore, by exuding self-confidence, men gain influence in an organization (Guillén et al., 2017). Women with equal qualifications, however, must display self-confidence *and* a pro-social (team-focused) attitude to obtain the same level of influence. Exploration of three theoretical explanations for "...women's limited self-promotion success: (1) cognitive dissonance, (2) stereotypical threat, and (3) backlash avoidance" (Lindeman et al., p. 219), leads to the conclusion that women actively avoid backlash. In other words, women do not engage in self-promotion for fear of social consequences.

A growing body of research shows that assessments of research impact are gendered (Chubb & Derrick, 2020), that female scientific researchers' papers are less likely to achieve acceptance by academic journals and less likely to be cited by others (Fox & Paine, 2019; Maliniak et al., 2013), and even that letters of recommendation written for equivalent candidates for academic jobs contain signals of doubt when written for women (Madera et al., 2019). In an exploration of the history of science, Rossiter (1993) coined the term *Matilda effect* to refer to this "sexist nature" of "women's systemic under-recognition" (p. 337). Rossiter named the Matilda effect for Matilda Gage (1826–1898), a suffrage writer and editor, as well as the author of *Woman as Inventor* (1870), a tract on women in the history of technology, and subsequent journal article, *Woman as an Inventor* (1883). In her own time, Gage observed that "the more [a] woman worked the more the men around her profited and the less credit she got" (Rossiter, 1993, pp. 336–337). In fact, Gage has been nearly forgotten today, making her a demonstration of the phenomenon that she described (Rossiter, 1993).

The lack of recognition of women's contributions to science in particular is profound. As Rossiter (1993) states, "not only have those unrecognized in their own time generally remained so, but others that were well-known in their day have since been obliterated from history, either by laziness or inertia, or by historians with definite axes to grind" (p. 328). Rossiter (1993) goes on to describe numerous women in virtually every scientific field physics, chemistry, genetics, biochemistry, medicine and more—whose discoveries and innovations were suppressed, ignored, or attributed to their male collaborators. For example, Lise Meitner, who collaborated with Otto Hahn on the discovery of nuclear fission—one of the most important scientific discoveries of the twentieth century—was excluded from the Nobel Prize for this work, which went to Hahn alone (Rossiter, 1993).

As Lincoln et al.'s (2012) research demonstrates, the Matilda effect continues to exert influence on the perception of women's accomplishments in science; unconscious bias remains a salient issue across numerous academic fields. For example, the American National Science Foundation (NSF; NSF 2015a & b) statistics for 1994 to 2014 indicate that men hold more faculty positions in the social sciences, even though more women have PhDs. Bentley and Adamson (2004) concur with the NSF, going on to state that male domination increases among senior faculty positions, even when such factors as experience are taken into consideration. Given their close association with computing and digital technology, both of which are largely coded male within our culture (Makarova et al., 2019), it appears likely that distance education and educational technology are also impacted by the Matilda effect, thus shaping the perceptions of not only theorists—whose work is considered foundational—but also a gamut of stakeholders from policymakers, funders, and leaders to researchers, educators, and students.

With regard to this apparent male bias within distance education research, the aim of this





paper is to help reverse the Matilda effect for one online learning researcher in particular: Starr Roxanne Hiltz. Hiltz, a professor of sociology and computer and information science, conducted extensive early research on computer-medicated communication and online communities in the 1970s and created the world's first functional learning management system (Hiltz, 2017). Her vision, and her contributions to the field, were recognized in previous decades, but her work now largely appears to be forgotten. This paper focuses on Hiltz's essential contributions to the field. It begins with a brief review of her background and then explore her work in more depth, with a focus on her work on online communities and learning.

STARR ROXANNE HILTZ

Biographical Background

Starr Roxanne Hiltz was born in 1942 and graduated magna cum laude from Vassar in 1963 with a degree in sociology and economics (Hiltz, 2017). She went on to earn an MA and PhD in sociology (both from Columbia) before embarking on a career as a sociologist, research consultant, and ultimately, professor of sociology and then of computer and information science (Hiltz, 2017). She spent the latter part of her career (1985–2007) at the New Jersey Institute of Technology and is now a professor emerita, although she continues to publish and collaborate with others (Hiltz, 2017).

In many ways, Hiltz's career was shaped by the gendered expectations of women of her generation. For example, she married while still an undergraduate and selected a graduate school at which her husband could pursue the program of his choice; her graduate work was disrupted by her husband's pursuit of a military career and her relocation with him to a series of Army camps (Subramanian, 2013). Ultimately, she did complete her PhD in 1969. The gender roles and expectations of the day exerted a further effect on her career, when she discovered that the organization for which she had worked as a graduate student "really had no place for a woman" (Subramanian, 2013, p. 80). Even her boss, who was generally supportive, told her that he did not think she had a chance to succeed with the organization (Subramanian, 2013). In addition, Hiltz wished to have children, and there was no maternity leave available (Subramanian, 2013). Taking a substantial pay cut, Hiltz accepted a position at Upsala College, a small, private liberal arts college, where she became Chair of the sociology, anthropology, and social work department in 1973 (Subramanian, 2013).

In 1974, Hiltz began working with Murray Turoff, a computer scientist at the New Jersey Institute of Technology. Turoff had created a computer conferencing system and was looking for a sociologist to design experiments and study the communities who met and collaborated using the system (Subramanian, 2013). Hiltz and Turoff collaborated on a new version of the system, identifying what it would do, its social applications, and its pros and cons (Subramanian, 2013). Hiltz suggested that the new system be called EIES (Electronic Information Exchange System; pronounced "eyes,"); the name indicated that the system would encompass a "new way of seeing and perceiving the world" (Subramanian, 2013, p. 81). Hiltz obtained grant funding to study the scientific communities using similar tools, as well as grant funding for research communities to come and work on EIES (Hiltz, 1984; Hiltz & Turoff, 1978; Kerr & Hiltz, 1982).

The result of this early work was a ground-breaking book focused on emerging virtual communities. Written in collaboration with Murray Turoff (who provided input largely on the technological aspects), *The Network Nation*, published in 1978, was named the best technical-scientific publication of the year by the Association of American Publishers (Hiltz, 2017). In its time, the book was influential; it has been cited nearly 3000 times, according





to Google Scholar (see https://bit.ly/3w3EMWe). Subsequently, Hiltz continued to write and research in the areas of online communities, computer-mediated communication, and online learning (Hiltz, 2017); in fact, she conducted one of the earliest longitudinal studies of online communities. As mentioned above, she also conceived the notion of a virtual classroom, and designed and implemented the world's first functional learning management system (Hiltz, 2017). More recently, her work has focused on information systems for emergency management (Hiltz, 2017).

Subsequent sections of this paper explore Hiltz's early contributions to the field of online learning through a brief examination of four seminal publications: *The Network Nation* (1978), *Computer-Mediated Communication Systems* (1982), *Online Communities* (1984), and *The Virtual Classroom* (1994). The primary focus is on elucidating Hiltz's contributions in the area of online communities, which provide the foundation for her work on online learning.

The Network Nation

First published in 1978, and revised for a second edition in 1993, *The Network Nation: Human Communication via Computer* is a ground-breaking work. Based on Hiltz and Turoff's research with EIES and online scientific communities (as briefly described above), it explores the social and technical possibilities of what eventually became known as the Internet.

As explained in *The Network Nation*, the world's first computer conferencing system was created in 1970. The conferencing technology of the time was exclusively text-based (that is, digital images were not supported) and involved connecting via dialup from a terminal to a mainframe computer (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978). This early form of computer conferencing or computer-mediated communication, which the authors describe as "a new form of human communication utilizing the computer" (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978, p. xxv), is the focus of *The Network Nation*. In 2021, computer conferencing solely via text seems almost quaint or antiquated, but in 1978 it was so novel that it was necessary for Hiltz and Turoff to provide detailed explanations and drawings to explain it. As the authors state, "to participate in computerized conferencing, the members of a group type their written comments or contributions into a computer terminal attached to a telephone, which then transmits the material to the host computer" (p. xxvi).

At the time of writing, only "tens of organizations and a few thousand people" (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978, p. xxix) used this form of communication, which is unsurprising, given that the cost of a computer at the time was tens or hundreds of thousands of dollars—in 1978 dollars, no less (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978). The typical conception of a computer, at the time—even within the computer industry—was "something that sat in a large room with glass windows you couldn't get in to without a special access card" (Segaller, 1998). And yet the authors predicted that, in the future, computer-mediated communication would be "as omnipresent as the telephone" (p. xxv) and that an individual would be able to "work, shop, or be educated by or with persons anywhere in the nation or in the world" (p. xxix).

Written more than 25 years before the launch of Facebook—and in a time in which the idea of a home computer seemed like science fiction—Hiltz and Turoff (1978) describe a future in which:

potentially intense communication networks among geographically dispersed persons will become actualized. We will become the Network Nation, exchanging vast amounts of both information and social-emotional communications with colleagues, friends, and "strangers" who share similar interests, who are spread out





all over the nation. Ultimately . . . these social networks facilitated by computermediated communications will become international. (p. xxix)

From the vantage point of 2021, the above comments seem almost eerily prescient; they provide an excellent description of social media or Web 2.0. In the developed world or Global North, the majority of us now take for granted the exchange of social-emotional communication, as well as information—but this conception was Hiltz's innovation. Her focus on human community, and on the sociological aspects of this new technology, were so far ahead of the curve that her early work was rejected by the *American Sociological Review* on the basis that "sociologists do not study computers" (Subramanian, 2013). In fact, Hiltz's work on virtual communities—based on her research of the scientific communities using EIES—was arguably the first of its kind (Hiltz, 2017; Subramanian, 2013). Certainly, the majority of the early creators and users of the Internet appear to have been focused on its technological affordances, not its sociological ones (Segaller, 1998).

Virtual Communities

Despite the limitations of the computer conferencing systems of the era, Hiltz and Turoff (1978) argue that they have "unique capabilities" (p. 8) to support group communication. These include a lack of time- and distance-related barriers, the ability to expand group sizes without decreasing individual participation, and the capability of members to participate in a rate and time of their own choosing (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978). While these affordances may be taken for granted in 2021, they were—as the authors describe—revolutionary in the 1970s, in which group communication of necessity could only take place face to face (technologies such as the telephone were seen as primarily suitable for one-on-one communication). In addition, against a backdrop in which the technical capabilities of a given system were the primary focus of computer scientists (Segaller, 1998), Hiltz's interest in and description of these and other social and psychological dimensions of computer-mediated communication were truly unique; she later described her early research in these areas as being like "an assault on the wilderness" (Kerr & Hiltz, 1982, p. 1).

The Network Nation identifies two particularly striking social and psychological aspects of computer-mediated communication, with the authors emphasizing that "in order to understand computer-mediated communications at all, you must see them as a social process" (p. 27). These are: impersonality, or "freedom to be oneself" (p. 27); and autonomy, or "user-determined rates and topics of information flow" (p. 29). Each is discussed below.

Impersonality

Hiltz and Turoff (1978) note that due to the restriction of communication channels in textbased computer conferencing—that is, a lack of visual cues, body language, vocal tone, and more—a degree of impersonality occurs in this form of communication. They observe that although "very warm and personal relationships can be fostered and maintained through this medium" (p. 27), computerized conferencing is:

much less intimate and self-exposing than oral modes of communication in the sense that only your words (which can be carefully considered and edited) are transmitted. Your appearance or other personal characteristics (or handicaps) or other nonverbal cues need not be known. (p. 27)

The authors argue that this impersonality results in persons feeling "free to be extremely frank and open with one another, whether discussing a topic such as a scientific or business





problem, or in exchanging information about themselves and their feelings" (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978, p. 28). Such frankness and openness are seen as both advantageous and disadvantageous. The authors note that participants "can feel more free to express disagreements or suggest potentially unpopular ideas" (p. 27) and that "statements may be considered on their merit rather than by the status of their proponents" (p. 27). However, they note that participants' social and emotional needs are "not as likely to be met" as they are in a face-to-face meeting (p. 27).

One of the downstream implications of "freedom to be oneself" relates to group decisionmaking. The authors describe a series of earlier experiments that demonstrate "how highstatus persons can easily convince a group to make a 'wrong' decision by dominating the discussion in face-to-face communication" (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978, p. 114). Hiltz and Turoff (1978) hypothesize that "the 'chilling effect' of rank on disagreement with a bad idea or decision advocated by a high-status person will not be as operative in computerized conferencing" (p. 114), although they note that their hypothesis was untested. Interestingly, subsequent studies have borne this out (e.g., Kimura & Tsuzuki, 1998), although researchers have also found that groups making decisions via CMC may become more polarized, particularly when operating with high degrees of anonymity (e.g., Sia et al., 2002). A recent Pew Research report echoes these findings (Rainie et al., 2017), and many of us have witnessed the polarizing political effects of siloed online communities.

Autonomy

Hiltz and Turoff (1978) describe the second striking social and psychological aspect of computerized conferencing as user-determined rates and topics of information flow (or autonomy). They state:

Each participant in this form of communication chooses not only when and where to participate, but also whether to send or receive information at any specific time; at what rate the writing and reading (sending and receiving) will occur; and what topic this communication will concern. (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978, p. 29)

Once again, while this is largely taken for granted in 2021, it was novel (and revolutionary) in the 1970s. One of the implications of this is the fact that, in computer conferencing, "No one can be interrupted or shouted down" (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978, p. 29). In other words, the norms of turn-taking and holding the floor are disrupted in this form of communication (Hiltz & Turoff, 1978). Hiltz and Turoff (1978) describe new users within the EIES system experiencing "culture shock" (p. 81), as they recognize that the regulating mechanisms within face-to-face communication are completely altered within computer conferencing.

Hiltz and Turoff (1978) emphasize that this form of communication is a skill that must be developed. They describe "a new system of 'conventions and procedural rules' [that] emerges among participants, who must master them as well as the mechanics of the system before they feel comfortable and accomplished in this medium. Learning is a continuous process" (p. 82). The authors use a simple measure to demonstrate improvements in this skill: a chart with input rate of words per minute divided by how many hours a user has been in the system. The results demonstrate a four-fold increase in input rate from users with only 1 to 2 hours of experience to users with more than 64 hours of experience (from 6.4 words/minute to 27.7 words/minute).

In terms of qualitative improvement, Hiltz and Turoff (1978) state that amongst the more experienced users:

the "written equivalent" of the language content tends to be somewhat better





organized and more fully thought out than comparable statements recorded from a face-to-face conversation. This is because the participant has a chance to take as long as desired to think about a response or comment, to reorganize and rework it until it presents the idea as fully and succinctly as possible. (pp. 82–83)

Intriguingly, this concept underlies much of the work around online communities of inquiry. As Garrison et al. (2000), in their seminal article proposing the community of inquiry theory, stated (more than 20 years after the publication of *Network Nation*):

Compared to traditional, oral classroom interaction, computer conferencing would appear to offer not only potential deficiencies, but also some advantages. One such advantage is that text-based communication provides time for reflection. For this reason, written communication may actually be preferable to oral communication when the objective is higher-order cognitive learning. (p. 90)

Of note, Garrison et al. (2000) make no reference to Hiltz and Turoff's work in this area (or to Hiltz's later extensive work on collaborative online learning).

Garrison et al. (2000) were aware of Hiltz and Turoff's seminal work, however, because *The Network Nation* (1993 ed.) was mentioned once in Garrison et al.'s (2000) publication. This is what Garrison et al. (2000) said: "In fact, when education based on computer conferencing fails, it is usually because there has not been responsible teaching presence and appropriate leadership and direction exercised (Gunawardena, 1991; Hiltz & Turoff, 1993)" (p. 100). What is curious about this quote is the reference date. For unknown reasons, Garrison et al. overlooked Hiltz & Turoff's (1978) original publication, even though Hiltz and Turoff had already reported these, as well as the previously-mentioned findings, *in 1978*. Evidently by the year 2000, the Matilda effect had already begun to set in.

Looking to the Future

From their vantage point in 1978, in which the price of a computer had dropped from millions of dollars to tens or hundreds of thousands of dollars, Hiltz and Turoff predicted "a computer [would be] in every home" (p. 13), with major inroads made in this regard by the 1980s. Building on this, they predicted that the following would be implemented by 1990:

- Computer-based "work at home or at decentralized neighborhood centers" (p. 468);
- The replacement of periodicals, books, libraries, etc. with "an integrated on-line [sic] system of abstract and bibliographic services, text, and data bases [sic]" (p. 468);
- Computer-mediated instruction, "facilitating life-long learning from the home" (p. 468);
- "Electronic funds transfer and shop-at-home services" enabling users to "search for, order, and pay for most goods and services from the home terminal" (p. 468);
- The implementation of satellite communication and "portable telephones" (p. 469);
- Inexpensive, high-quality "home terminals" (p. 469);
- Participatory democracy, with "discussions of public issues . . . allowing interested citizens constant and effective input into the political decision-making process" (p. 469);
- Replacement of printed newspapers with "constantly updated on-line [sic] news" (p. 469); and
- "Emergence and sustenance of family, professional, and leisure-oriented





networks through computerized conferences" (p. 469).

While their timeline may have been slightly ambitious, all of these predictions (with the possible exception of participatory democracy) have indeed come to pass. The language may seem quaint (e.g., "portable telephones," "home terminals"), but the analysis is spoton.

Computer-Mediated Communication Systems

In 1982, Hiltz published her next book. *Computer-Mediated Communication Systems: Status and Evaluation* (coauthored with Elaine Kerr) stemmed from a project funded by the National Science Foundation (Kerr & Hiltz, 1982). A synthesis of existing research and knowledge about early computer-mediated communication systems, it was built on the ongoing research into Hiltz and Turoff's EIES, as well as several other conferencing systems, including PLANET, HUB, COMM, CONFER, and more (Kerr & Hiltz, 1982). The book briefly explores system design, but is focused largely on acceptance and usage of CMC systems, as well as on cognitive, behavioral, and affective impacts of CMC upon individuals, groups, and society as a whole. Kerr and Hiltz (1982) summarize their conclusions as follows: "We believe that the challenge [in successful implementation] is not primarily in further perfecting the computer and telecommunication technology, which is already here, but in the 'social engineering' problem of fitting the technological possibilities within particular social contexts" (p. 177). This theme was further explored in Hiltz's next work.

Online Communities

In 1984, Hiltz published her next full-length work—this time without a coauthor. *Online Communities: A Case Study of the Office of the Future* summarizes her two-year-long study of communication and cooperation within the scientific research communities that used EIES; this was one of the earliest longitudinal studies of online communities (Hiltz, 2017). As Hiltz (1984) describes, the purposes of the book were twofold: "to convey an 'in depth' understanding of reactions to and effects of this computer conferencing system on the scientific communities which used it, and to assess the implications of those findings for future implementations of similar systems" (p. 2).

Hiltz (1984) argues that knowledge workers were likely to be among the first to utilize CMC systems, which "will be the central technological innovation characterizing the new type of office work" (p. 3). As with Hiltz and Turoff's earlier predictions, these forecasts largely came to pass. Of note, in 1984, one of the primary concerns with implementation of computer-mediated communication was that of user rejection (Hiltz, 1984). As with her previous work, Hiltz zeroes in on the social and psychological elements of the system, observing that "the strongest predictor of subjective satisfaction with the system is the extent to which it has expanded social networks through facilitating 'meeting' and working with new colleagues who share one's interests" (p. 193). She also notes that "in examining whether the technology produces the intended increases in productivity of 'knowledge workers,' the key variable is not the attributes of the system itself. It is whether the technological potential for increasing social 'connectivity' is indeed realized" (p. 193). She concludes that "with the necessary attention to social engineering, the technology can improve the effectiveness of professional and technical workers in the 'offices' of the future. In doing so, however, it is likely to profoundly change the nature of white-collar work and of the organizations and communities which use it" (p. 197).

Once again, Hiltz's focus on the sociology of computer-mediated communication, rather than its technical aspects, was ground-breaking. It must be emphasized that against the backdrop of the time—with the "nerds" focused on the technological aspects (Segaller,





1998), and the idea that "sociologists do not study computers"—her research in this area was entirely novel.

The Virtual Classroom

Ten years after the publication of *Online Communities*, Hiltz's next full-length work was released. The interim years had been busy. Hiltz had created the world's first fully functional learning management system (or "virtual classroom") and implemented it with a full-scale field trial in 1986–1987 (Hiltz, 1994). *The Virtual Classroom: Learning Without Limits via Computer Networks*, largely based on data gathered during this field trial, was published in 1994.

Hiltz had seen the possibilities for online learning as far back as 1977. She describes the genesis of the idea during a postgraduate seminar on the Sociology of Architecture at Princeton University, in which the final assignment was to design an ideal classroom for the 21st century:

Suddenly it came to me. A teaching and learning environment did not have to be built of bricks and boards. It could be constructed in software. It could be Virtual! In an era when many teachers and students have their own microcomputers [i.e., the 21st century], it was no longer necessary for them to travel to a classroom . . . the classroom could come to them, over their telephone lines and through their computers. (Hiltz, 1994, pp. 5–6)

From the vantage point of 2021, it's almost astonishing to consider a time in which no one had even conceived of online learning. But Hiltz was so far ahead of the curve in this respect that she actually trademarked the term "virtual classroom" (Hiltz, 1994). As noted above, she created and implemented the first version of a virtual classroom (what we would now refer to as a learning management system). In keeping with the Matilda effect, however, this achievement has all but entirely vanished from view. For example, one summary of the history of online learning states that it emerged in 1989, with the University of Phoenix as the first to offer educational programs online (Kentnor, 2015). This is, of course, factually inaccurate; Hiltz's institution offered several online courses during and after the field trials of 1986–1987 (Hiltz, 1994).

Hiltz's (1994) exploration of online learning is comprehensive, encompassing software tools, online teaching principles (including an in-depth exploration of collaborative learning, a precursor to Garrison et al.'s community of inquiry), research findings, and a look to the future. She describes collaborative learning as being particularly suited to learning online. In her view:

Collaborative learning [emphasis in the original] means that teachers and learners are active participants in the learning process; knowledge is not something that is 'delivered' to students, but rather something that emerges from active dialogue among those who seek to understand and apply concepts and techniques. (p. 23)

Almost thirty years later, this description of online collaborative learning is still highly salient; the structure of the courses within Athabasca University's Master of Education in Open, Digital, and Distance Education is based on this model. Hiltz and her early work with online learning had a significant influence on current practices.

CONCLUSION

This paper has briefly reviewed the contributions of Starr Roxanne Hiltz and her early



International Women Online Journal of Distance Education



October, 2021 Volume: 10 Issue: 2 Article: 01 ISSN: 2147-0367

contributions to the social and psychological understanding of computer conferencing or computer-mediated communication, online communities, and online learning. It has located these contributions in a landscape of the sexist devaluation of women's contributions, particularly within STEM-related fields. It is hoped that this review will assist in bringing the work of this female online learning founder to light and, in doing so, serve to mitigate the Matilda effect on Hiltz and her ground-breaking work.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, T. (Ed.). (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning* (2nd ed.) [PDF version]. AU Press. <u>http://www.aupress.ca/books/120146/ebook/99Z_Anderson_2008-</u> <u>Theory and Practice of Online_Learning.pdf</u>
- Bentley, J. T., & Adamson, R. (2004). Gender differences in the careers of academic scientists and engineers: A literature review. *National Science Foundation*. http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/nsf03322/pdf/nsf03322.pdf
- Chubb, J., & Derrick, G. E. (2020). The impact a-gender: Gendered orientations towards research impact and its evaluation. *Palgrave Communications, 6*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-0438-z</u>
- Exley, C., & Kessler, J. (2019). The gender gap in self-promotion (No. w26345; p. w26345). *National Bureau of Economic Research*. https://doi.org/10.3386/w26345
- Fox, C. W., & Paine, C. E. T. (2019). Gender differences in peer review outcomes and manuscript impact at six journals of ecology and evolution. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 3599–3916. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4993</u>
- Gage, M. E. J. (1870). Woman as inventor. *Women's suffrage tracts* (No. 1). New York State Women's Suffrage Association. <u>https://iiif.lib.harvard.edu/manifests/view/drs:2575141\$32i</u>
- Gage, M. E. J. (1883). Woman as an inventor. *The North American Review, 136*(318), 478–489. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25118273
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *Internet and Higher Education, 2*, 87–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6</u>
- Guillén, L., Mayo, M., & Karelaia, N. (2017). Appearing self-confident and getting credit for it: Why it may be easier for men than women to gain influence at work. *Human Resource Management, 57*(4), 839–854. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21857</u>
- Hiltz, S. R. (1984). Online communities: A case study of the office of the future. Ablex.
- Hiltz, S. R. (1994). *The virtual classroom: Learning without limits via computer networks.* Ablex.
- Hiltz, S. R. (2017). *Starr Roxanne Hiltz curriculum vitae*. <u>https://web.njit.edu/~hiltz/Vita2017SRH.pdf</u>
- Hiltz, S. R., & Turoff, M. (1978). The network nation: Human communication via





computer. Addison-Wesley.

- Hiltz, S. R., & Turoff, M. (1993). *The network nation: Human communication via computer* (Rev. ed.). MIT Press. <u>https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/network-nation-revised-</u> edition
- Kentnor, H. (2015). Distance education and the evolution of online learning in the United States. *Curriculum and Teaching Dialogue, 17.* <u>https://digitalcommons.du.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1026&context=law_facpub</u>
- Kerr, E. B., & Hiltz, S. R. (1982). *Computer-mediated communication systems: Status and evaluation.* Academic Press.
- Kimura, Y., & Tsuzuki, T. (1998). Group decision making and communication mode: An experimental social psychological examination of the differences between the computer-mediated communication and face-to-face communication. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 38*(2), 183–192. https://doi.org/10.2130/jjesp.38.183

Knowles, M. (1984). The adult learner: A neglected species (3rd ed.). Amsterdam: Gulf.

- Lincoln, A. E., Pincus, S., Koster, J. B., & Leboy, P. S. (2012). The Matilda effect in science: Awards and prizes in the US, 1990s and 2000s. *Social Studies of Science, 42*(2), 307–320. https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312711435830
- Lindeman, M. I. H., Durik, A. M., & Dooley, M. (2019). Women and self-promotion: A test of three theories. *Psychological Reports, 122*(1), 219–230. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294118755096
- Madera, J. M., Hebl, M. R., Dial, H, Martin, R., & Valian, V. (2019). Raising doubt in letters of recommendation for academia: Gender differences and their impact. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 34*, 287–303. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-018-</u> <u>9541-1</u>
- Makarova, E., Aeschlimann, B., & Herzog, W. (2019). The gender gap in STEM fields: The impact of the gender stereotype of math and science on secondary students' career aspirations. *Frontiers in Education, 4.* https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00060
- Maliniak, D., Powers, R., & Walter, B. F. (2013). The gender citation gap in international relations. *International Organization, 67*(4), 889–922. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000209
- Miller, D. I., Eagly, A. H., & Linn, M. C. (2015). Women's representation in science predicts national gender-science stereotypes: Evidence from 66 nations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 107(3), 631–644. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000005</u>
- Moore, M. (1997). Theory of transactional distance. In D. Keegan (Ed.), Theoretical principles of distance education (pp. 22–38). Routledge.
- National Science Foundation. (2015a). *Doctorate recipients from U.S. universities: 2014*. <u>https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/2016/nsf16300/digest/nsf16300.pdf</u>





- National Science Foundation. (2015b). *Table 14. Doctorate Recipients, by Sex and Broad Field of Study: Selected Years, 1984–2014.* <u>http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/2016/nsf16300/data-tables.cfm</u>
- Nosek, B. A., Smyth, F. L., Sriram, N., Lindner, N. M., Devos, T., Ayala, A., Bar-Anan, Y., Bergh, R., Cai, H., Gonsalkorale, K., Kesebir, S., Maliszewski, N., Neto, F., Olli, E., Park, J., Schnabel, K., Shiomura, K., Tulbure, B. T., Weirs, R. W., ...Greenwald, A. G. (2009). National differences in gender-science stereotype predict national sex differences in science and math achievement. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106*(26), 10593–10597. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809921106
- Picciano, A. G. (2017). Theories and frameworks for online education: Seeking an integrated model. *Online Learning*, *21*, 166–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v21i3.1225</u>
- Rainie, L., Anderson, J., & Albright, J. (2017). The future of free speech, trolls, anonymity, and fake news online. *Pew Research*. <u>https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2017/03/29/the-future-of-free-speech-trolls-anonymity-and-fake-news-online/</u>
- Rossiter, M. W. (1993). The Matilda effect in science. *Social Studies of Science, 23*(2), 325–342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/030631293023002004</u>

Segaller, S. (1998). *Nerds 2.0.1: A brief history of the Internet*. Public Broadcasting Station.

- Sia, C. L., Tan, B. C. Y., & Wei, K. K. (2002). Group polarization and computer-mediated communication: Effects of communication cues, social presence, and anonymity. *Information Systems Research*, *13*(1), 70–90. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.13.1.70.92
- Storage, D., Charlesworth, T. E. S., Banii, M. R., & Cimpian, A. (2020). Adults and children implicitly associate brilliance with men more than women. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2020.104020</u>
- Subramanian, R. (2013). Starr Roxanne Hiltz: Pioneer digital sociologist. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing, 35*(1), 78–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/MAHC.2013.11</u>

BIODATA and CONTACT ADDRESSES of the AUTHORS



Hilary SCHMIDT is an instructional designer with PCL Constructors in Edmonton, Alberta. She is currently pursuing a Master of Education in open, digital and distance education through Athabasca University. She also holds a BA (Hons) in English literature from Simon Fraser University and a postbaccalaureate certificate in technology-based learning from Athabasca University. Her academic interests include online learning, learning theory, learning experience design, and gender issues in education.

Schmidt, Hilary Athabasca University Address: 1 University Drive, Athabasca, AB Canada T9S 3A3 Phone: 1-800-788-9041 ext. 6179 E-mail: <u>hschmidt1@athabasca.edu</u>



International Women Online Journal of Distance Education



October, 2021 Volume: 10 Issue: 2 Article: 01 ISSN: 2147-0367



Dr. Susan BAINBRIDGE is an instructor and co-supervisor with the Centre for Distance Education graduate/postgraduate programs at Athabasca University in Canada. She holds a Doctor of Education in Distance Education obtained at Athabasca University in 2013. She earned her MA in applied linguistics at the University of Southern Queensland in Australia, and her BA in religious studies at Laurentian University in Canada. Her research interests include online learning in underdeveloped countries, leadership, online pedagogy and design, as well as gender issues in education.

Bainbridge, Susan (Dr.) Athabasca University Address: 1 University Drive, Athabasca, AB Canada T9S 3A3 Phone: 1-800-788-9041 ext. 6179 E-mail: <u>susanbainbridge@athabascau.ca</u> URL: <u>https://cde.athabascau.ca/ourpeople/instructors/sbainbridge.php</u>



Dr. Norine WARK is an award-winning scholar, educator, researcher, and writer, currently working as a free-lance educational consultant, researcher, and writer in the field of distance education. Norine's Doctor of Education in Distance Education (2018) and Master of Education in Distance Education (2018) and Master of Education in Distance Education (2005) were obtained from Athabasca University. Her Bachelor of Education (5 yr.; 1997) and teaching certificate (1991) came from Simon Fraser University. Norine's research focuses upon historic and emerging theory and practice in distance learning, as well as the technologies that

enable such learning.

Wark, Norine (Dr.) Address: RR 1, S6, C5, Dawson Creek BC Canada V1G 4E7 Phone: 1-250-843-7310 E-mail: <u>norinewark@gmail.com</u>





DISTANCE EDUCATION IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC PROCESS: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES STUDENTS

Müjgan ERSOY <u>ersoy.mujgan35@gmail.com</u> Anadolu University, Turkey

Belgin BOZ YÜKSEKDAĞ <u>bboz@anadolu.edu.tr</u> Anadolu University, Turkey

ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to determine the views of 60 students studying in the field of information technologies in the 10th grade regarding distance education during the Covid-19 pandemic process. A questionnaire was developed through Google forms. The content validity of the questionnaire was provided by the opinions of two experts in the field of distance education. The reliability coefficient is 0.64. As a result of the research, there were no significant differences between male and female students in their views on distance education. The students did not want to continue their education through distance education is at the basic level. In terms of female students, even sharing responsibilities with the mother in housework can cause negative thoughts about distance education. On the other hand, it was determined that the views of the students on distance education level of the parents. It has been observed that it varies according to the status of having a computer with internet connection at home. Suggestions were made in line with these results.

Keywords: Covid-19, information technology, female students and distance education, vocational.

INTRODUCTION

The Covid-19 virus, which emerged in China in 2019, spread very quickly, causing a worldwide pandemic and negatively affecting education activities around the world, making face-to-face education impossible and making distance education compulsory (Ceviz, Tektaş, Basmacı and Tektaş, 2020).

What kind of changes are experienced in education during this epidemic process, how students are affected by this process and their views on distance education are very important in establishing and maintaining distance education programs. In this context, there are many national (Sarı & Nayır, 2020; Canpolat and Yıldırım, 2021; Yaman, 2021; Arık; Karakaya, Çimen and Yılmaz, 2021; Yavuz, Kayalı, Balat and Karaman, 2020) and international studies (Cicha, Rizun, Rutecka and Strzelecki, 2021; Zhou, Li, Wu, S, & Zhou, 2020; Marek, Chew and Wu, 2021; Sintema, 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

A quality distance learning is closely related to good planning and structure, active student participation, learner centeredness, interaction and problem solving (Turkoglu, 2003). On the other hand, the effectiveness of a technology-based education, it requires instructors to have knowledge and skills related to technology as well as content knowledge (Koehler,





Misra, 2009; Ersoy, 2004; Naralan, 2008; Kaynar, Kurnaz, Doğrukök and Şentürk Barışık, 2020). Along with the pandemic, many educational institutions and lecturers needed information on the teaching of courses through distance education and the use of online education tools (Karip, 2020; Çetinkaya-Aydın, 2020). On the other hand, in terms of students, it was seen that students, especially female students, faced many difficulties during the pandemic process. Just as the pandemic has exposed general social inequalities and discriminations, the distance education model has also revealed inequalities on the basis of students. Availability of technological devices, tools, sufficient financial means and suitable conditions at home for distance education; The inability of female students and male students to benefit from distance education on equal terms during the implementation of this model can be counted among these (Sahin, 2021). One of the reasons for this, according to the UNICEF report is that adolescent girls devote significantly more hours to housework than their male peers (UNICEF, 2020). Therefore, in general, as a result of the measures taken during the pandemic process such as continuing education from a distance, there has been a concern that female students will not be able to put enough effort into their education and the rates of interrupting or leaving their education will increase during and after this process (Sahin 2021). According to the United Nations Report, the closure of schools does not only mean that girls do more housework at home, but may also cause millions of girls to drop out of school before completing their education. This is especially true for girls who live in poverty, have a disability, or live in rural, remote areas (UN, 2020). In this context, Özer (2020) states that the socio-economic, digital and information literacy differences of students open up the results of distance education practices to discussion (Özer, 2020). Eygü and Karaman (2013) stated that students' views on distance education stem from demographic differences. Kırali & Alcı (2016) expressed that there is no statistically significant difference between male and female students in their views on distance education, but male students have more positive views on distance education than females. Akpolat (2021) stated that female students found distance education more individual effort, non-interactive, saving, enabling and unproductive than male students. In addition, Birisci (2013) stated that in general, most of the students have negative opinions about distance education. Metin, Karaman, and Şaştım (2017) stated that students have negative thoughts about distance education, but if internet access is provided, students' thoughts will change. These studies show that female students' views on distance education are closely related to the opportunities they have.

Since 1980, computers and products based on computer technology have been used extensively in the production process. Information technologies have become an indispensable part of the working environments at every stage of the production process in enterprises, from production to storage and classification, to information transfer (Ecevit, Hoşgör ve Tokluoğlu, 2003). The problems in the relationship between technology and women, likewise, continue to be observed in the relationship between Information Technology (IT) and women as a sub-field. Traditionally, women are not seen as "close" and "prone" to technology, but gain importance as a user and consumer of technology products. However, this importance is shaped around the roles assigned to women such as motherhood and housewife (Göker, 2003). In this context, in order to ensure continuity in terms of development and growth in information technologies, not to consider women only as complements to men; It is an important approach to provide women with equal opportunities in the field of education and employment.

This study was not designed specifically for female students, it was examined that students' views on distance education in general and whether these views change according to their gender, education of parents and profession of parents. There is no study in the literature to determine the views of vocational high school information technology students (VHSITS) towards distance education. It is thought that this study will contribute to the literature





with this dimension. On the other hand, based on the learner-centered approach, learners' views on distance education are of great importance in restructuring and increasing the effectiveness of programs in this field of education. Due to the fact that only 25% of the students participating in the study were female, the effects of distance education on students during the pandemic process could not be discussed in terms of women. However, with a qualitative study including semi-structured interview questions, the views of these women on distance education can be discussed in depth. This qualitative study can be considered as a continuation of the research.

METHOD

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the views of 10th grade students studying in the field of information technologies regarding distance education during the Covid-19 pandemic process. In this direction, the sub-objectives of the study are given below.

- 1. What are the demographic characteristics of VHSITS?
- 2. Do VHSITS' views on distance education change according to gender?
- 3. Do the opinions of VHSITS on distance education differ according to the education level of the parents?
- 4. Do the opinions of VHSITS about distance education differ according to the professions of the parents?
- 5. Do the opinions of VHSITS on distance education change according to the situation of having a computer with internet connection at home?

Research Pattern

In the research, the descriptive relational scanning model was used. Descriptive survey model; it is a method in which groups are studied and the attitudes and opinions of the people in the group about a phenomenon, event or situation are tried to be described as they are (Karasar, 2008; Aydoğdu, Karamustafaoğlu, & Bülbül, 2017). The study was carried out in relational survey model in order to determine the views of VHSITS on distance education and whether these views change according to the variables. Participants of the study were selected by convenience (easy) sampling. In the suitability sample, the researcher tries to collect data from individuals whom he or she considers to be close or suitable (Ross, 2005). In other words, due to the limitations in terms of time, money and labor in convenient sampling, the sample is selected from easily accessible and applicable units (Büyüköztürk, 2012). In this study, this sampling method was chosen due to isolation during the epidemic process.

Participants

This study was conducted in a public school in the province of Izmir with a group of 60 students who agreed to participate in the research. Demographic characteristics of VHSITS are given in Table 1 below.





Gender	Ν	%
Female	15	25,0
Male	45	75,0
Mother Education Level		
Elementary and Below	39	65,0
High School	18	30,0
University	3	5,0
Mother's Profession		
Housewife	46	76,7
Worker	14	23,3
Father Education Level		
Elementary and Below	36	60,0
High School	17	28,3
University	7	11,7
Father's Profession		
Self-Employment	13	21,7
Officer	3	5,0
Small Business	17	28,3
Chauffeur	4	6,7
Manager	3	5,0
Retired	8	13,3
Employee	10	16,7
Engineer	2	3,3
Having Internet and Comput	er	
Yes	44	73,3
No	16	26,7
Experiencing Technical Probl	ems	
Yes	39	65,0
No	21	35,0
Difficulty In Attending Cours	es In Distance Education	
Yes	33	55,0
No	27	45,0
Total	60	100,00

 Table 1:

 Demographic characteristics of VHSITS

According to Table 1, considering the gender of the students participating in the research; It was observed that 25% of the students were female, 65% of the mothers of the students were primary school graduates and below, and 5% of them were university graduates. 76.7% of mothers are housewives. In addition, 60% of the fathers of the students are primary school graduates and below, 11.7% of them are university graduates. 28.3% of fathers are tradesmen and 3.3% are engineers.

It was observed that 73.3% of the students had a computer connected to the internet at home, 65% had technical problems while attending distance education, and 55% had difficulties in attending classes with distance education.

Data Collection Tool

The research questionnaire consists of a total of 19 questions prepared with google forms. In order to ensure the content validity of the questionnaire, the opinions of two experts from the field of distance education were taken. In this study, the reliability coefficient





(Cronbach's Alpha) of the measurement tool was found to be 0.639. This value shows that the measurement tool has medium reliability (Kılıç, 2016).

Data Analysis

SPSS 20.0 was used in the analysis of the data collected in the study. Percentage-frequency tables were used for descriptive analysis, and F and t tests were used to find the differences between the means of variables for interpretive statistics.

FINDINGS

In this section, the answers of 60 students who answered the questionnaire were interpreted within the scope of the research questions.

STATEMENTS	I do not agree	undecided	I agree	Mean
The duration of courses given in distance education is sufficient.	%15	%45	%40	2,25
I find live lessons in distance education boring.	%16,7	%30	%53,3	2,37
I can easy access to the resources (books, lesson notes, videos, trial questions) related to my courses in distance education.	%36,7	%26,7	%36,7	2,00
I have difficult to communicate with my teacher in distance education.	%50	%11,7	%38,3	1,88
Only theoretical information about the profession can be provided through distance education.	%23,3	%38,3	%38,3	2,15
Explaining lessons with visual materials in distance education make it easy to learn.	%6,7	%23,3	%70	2,63
I find the homework given in distance education useful.	%38,3	%30,0	%31,7	1,93
Through distance education, I understand the subjects better.	%81,7	%15,0	%3,3	1,22
I can reach my teacher easier through distance education.	%53,3	%15,0	%31,7	1,78
I would like to continue my education through distance education.	%65,0	%15,0	%20,0	1,55

 Table 2:

 Mean Values of Statements towards Distance Education

According to table 2, most of the students (45%) were undecided with the statement "The duration of courses given in distance education is sufficient." 53.3% of students found the live lessons boring. 50% of the students stated that they had no difficulty in communicating with their teachers in distance education. 70% of the students agreed with the statement "Explaining lessons with visual materials in distance education make it easy to learn." 81.7% of students did not agree with the statement "I understand the subjects better with distance education". 53.3 % of the students did not agree with the statement "I can reach my teacher easier through distance education. 65% of students did not want to continue their education through distance education.





Do VHSITS' views on distance education change according to gender?

A t-test was used to evaluate whether students' views on distance education changed according to the age variable. The results are given in table 3.

Gender	N	Mean	Std Deviation	t	р
Female	15	1,8333	,33	1 206	222
Male	45	1,9578	,35	1,206	,233

 Table 3:

 Gender variable and students' views on distance education

When Table 3 is examined, the opinions of vocational high school information technology students on distance education do not differ according to gender.

Do the opinions of VHSITS on distance education differ according to the education level of the parents?

It was investigated whether students' views on distance education changed according to the education levels of their parents. The results are given in tables 4 and 5.

Table 4:The Relationship Between Views on Distance Education and Mother Education LevelAnova Analysis

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Р
Between Groups	,192	2	,096		
Within Groups	6,925	57	,121	,790	,459
Total	7,117	59			

When table 4 is examined, it is seen that the opinions of vocational high school information technology students about distance education do not change according to the education level of their mothers.

 Table 5:

 The Relationship Between Views on Distance Education and Father Education Level Anova

 Analysis

	Sum of	Df	Mean	F	D
	Squares		Square		
Between Groups	,182	2	,091		
Within Groups	6,935	57	,122	,749	,477
Total	7,117	59			

*p<0,05





According to table 5, it is seen that the opinions of vocational high school information technology students about distance education do not change according to the education level of their fathers.

Do the opinions of VHSITS about distance education differ according to the professions of the parents?

It was examined whether the views of the students on distance education changed according to the professions of the parents. The results are given in tables 6 and 7.

 Table 6:

 The Relationship Between Views on Distance Education and Mother's Profession T-Test

Profession	Ν	Mean	Sd	t	р
Housewife	46	1,9500	,04	.942	.350
Worker	14	1,8500	,10	<i>,</i>	,

According to table 6, vocational high school information technology students' views on distance education do not differ according to their mothers' profession.

Table 7: The Relationship Between Views on Distance Education and Father's Profession Anova Analysis

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Ρ
Between Groups	,984	7	,141		
Within Groups	6,133	52	,118	1,192	,324
Total	7,117	59			

*p<0,05

When table 7 is examined, Vocational high school information technology students' views on distance education do not differ according to their fathers' occupations.

CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this study, which aims to get the opinions of vocational high school Information Technologies students on distance education, the students did not want to continue their education through distance education. In this study, most of the students' mothers are housewives and their education is at the basic level. In terms of female students, even sharing responsibilities with the mother in housework can cause negative thoughts about distance education. On the other hand, this result can also be explained by the fact that the students have not yet gotten used to the change in their education life and routines due to the epidemic and they have the belief that face-to-face education will start again. There are studies that show similar results (Yurtbakan & Akyıldız, 2020; Kaynar, Kurnaz,





Doğrukök and Şentürk Barışık, 2020; Akgül and Oran, 2020; Akpolat, 2021). Researchers stated that students believe that face-to-face education is more beneficial than distance education and that face-to-face interaction is necessary for a good education. It was seen that most of the students were undecided about the adequacy of the course duration. Similarly, students could not express a definite opinion on the adequacy of the duration of the distance courses (Kaynar, Kurnaz, Doğrukök and Şentürk Barışık, 2020). Most of the students found the live lectures boring. Contrary to this result, in Kaynar et al.'s (2020) study, students' satisfaction rates regarding live lessons were high. Most of the students stated that they did not have any difficulties in communicating with their teachers in distance education. Contrary to this study, Bakioğlu and Çevik (2020) concluded in their research that the communication process between teacher and student weakens due to inadequacies in e-learning environments.

Most of the students stated that the lessons explained with visual materials facilitate learning in distance education. In a similar study, students stated that diversifying the study environment would facilitate learning (Akgül&Oran 2020). Most of the students stated that they do not think that they can reach their teachers more easily through distance education. Contrary to this result of the study, students stated that they could reach their teachers more easily in face-to-face education (Yurtbakan& Akyıldız, 2020).

Most of the students stated that they had technical problems in participating in distance education. Akgül &Oran (2020) also stated that students have technical problems in distance education. Similarly, Grant& Cheon (2007) stated that the technical problems experienced in distance education prevent students from actively participating in the lessons. Kaynar, Kurnaz, Doğrukök, and Barışık (2020) revealed that students who do not have connection problems have a more positive view of distance education than those who do.

In the study, a significant difference was found between the opinions of vocational high school information technology students about distance education and the situation of having a computer with internet connection at home. It was seen that students who have a computer with internet connection at home have a more positive view of distance education than students who do not have a computer with internet connection at home.

In the study, no significant difference was found between the views of vocational high school information technology students about distance education and their gender, education level of their parents and their professions. The study of Kaynar, Kurnaz, Doğrukök, and Şentürk Barışık (2020) supports the conclusion on gender. According to Şahin (2021), female students think that they do not benefit from the distance education model carried out during the pandemic process, and most of the students stated that they want to return to face-to-face education.

In line with the results obtained from this study, it can be suggested to conduct qualitative studies on the attitudes of vocational high school informatics students towards distance education. In addition, qualitative studies with female students will yield more effective results in revealing the effect of distance education on female students during the pandemic process.

REFERENCES

Akgül, G. & Oran, M. (2020). Sosyal Bilgiler Öğretmenlerinin, Ortaokul Öğrencilerinin Ve Öğrenci Velilerinin Pandemi Sürecindeki Uzaktan Eğitime İlişkin Görüşleri. Eğitimde





Yeni Yaklaşımlar Dergisi, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/eyyad/issue/59733/847318 3(2),15-37.

- Akpolat, T. (2021). Ortaokul Öğrencilerinin Uzaktan Eğitime İlişkin Metaforik Algılarının İncelenmesi. Ankara University Journal of Faculty of Educational Sciences (JFES), 54 (2), 497-522. DOI: 10.30964/auebfd.822101
- Arık, S., Karakaya, F., Çimen, O. & Yılmaz, M. (2021). Covid-19 Pandemi Sürecinde Uygulanan Uzaktan Eğitim Hakkında Ortaöğretim Öğrencilerinin Görüşlerinin Belirlenmesi. Gazi Üniversitesi Gazi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 41 (2), 631-659. DOI: 10.17152/gefad.926838
- Bakioğlu, B., & Çevik, M. (2020). Science teachers' views on distance education in the COVID-19 pandemic process. Turkish Studies, 15(4), 109-129. doi: 10.7827/TurkishStudies.43502
- Birişçi, S. (2013). Video Konferans Tabanlı Uzaktan Eğitime Ilişkin Öğrenci Tutumları ve Görüşleri. *Öğretim Teknolojileri ve Öğretmen Eğitimi Dergisi, 2*(1), 24-40.

Büyüköztürk, Ş (2012). Örnekleme Yöntemleri. http://cv.ankara.edu.tr/duzenleme/kisisel/dosyalar/21082015162828.pdf

- Canpolat, U. & Yıldırım, Y. (2021). Ortaokul öğretmenlerinin COVID-19 salgın sürecinde uzaktan eğitim deneyimlerinin incelenmesi . Açıköğretim Uygulamaları ve Araştırmaları Dergisi, 7 (1), 74-109. https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/auad/issue/60075/840233
- Çetinkaya-Aydın, G. (2020). "COVID-19 Salgını Süresinde Öğretmenler". Retrieved from <u>https://tedmem.org/covid-19/covid-19-salgini-surecinde-ogretmenler</u>
- Ceviz, N.Ö, Tektaş N., Basmacı, G. &Tektaş, M. (2020). Covid-19 pandemi sürecinde üniversite öğrencilerinin uzaktan eğitime bakışı: Türkiye örneği. *Ulakbilge Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 8(55), 1322–1335.
- Cicha, K., Rizun, M., Rutecka, P., & Strzelecki, A. (2021). COVID-19 and Higher Education: First-Year Students' Expectations toward Distance Learning. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1889.
- Durak, G. (2017). Uzaktan eğitimde destek hizmetlerine genel bakış: Sorunlar ve eğilimler. Açıköğretim Uygulamaları ve Araştırmaları Dergisi, 3(4), 160-173. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/auad/issue/34247/378493</u>
- Ecevit, F. Y., Hoşgör, H. A., & Tokluoğlu, A. C. (2003). Professional Women in computer programming occupations the case of Turkey. *Career Development International*, vol.8, 78-87.
- Ersoy, H. (2004). Bir Çevrimiçi Öğrenim Destek Sisteminin Kullanılabilirlik Testi: Planlama, Uygulama, Değerlendirme. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 3(1), 75-82.
- Eygü, H. & Karaman, S. (2013). Uzaktan eğitim öğrencilerinin memnuniyet algıları üzerine bir araştırma, *Kırıkkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 3(1), 36-59.





- Göker, G. (2003). Bilişim teknolojileri süreli yayınlarının reklam metinlerinde toplumsal cinsiyet örüntüleri: BT haber örneği, Kültür ve İletişim, 7(2), 95-132.
- Grant, M. M. & Cheon, J. (2007). The value of using synchronous conferencing for instruction and students, Journal of Interactive Online Learning, 6(3), 211-226.

Karakaya, İ. (2014). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*, Ankara: Anı Yayıncılık.

- Karamustafaoğlu, O., Aydoğdu, Ü. R. & Bülbül, M. Ş. (2017). Akademik Araştirmalarda Araştirma Yöntemleri İle Örneklem İlişkisi: Doğrulayici Doküman Analizi Örneği. Dicle Üniversitesi Ziya Gökalp Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, (30), 556-565. DOI: 10.14582/DUZGEF.1803
- Karasar, N. (2008). Bilimsel Araştırma Yöntemi. Ankara: Nobel Yayıncılık. Okutan, M. (2003). Okul müdürlerinin idari davranışları. Milli Eğitim Dergisi, 157, 226-236.
- Karip, E. (2020). Covid 19 Okulların kapatılması ve sonrası. https://tedmem.org/vurus/covid-19-okullarin-kapatilmasi-ve-sonrasi
- Kaynar, H., Kurnaz, A., Doğrukök, B., and Barışık, C. Ş. (2020). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin uzaktan eğitime ilişkin görüşleri. *Electronic Turkish Studies*, 15(7), 3269-3292. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.44486</u>
- Kılıç, S. (2016). Cronbach'ın Alfa Güvenirlik Katsayısı. *Journal of Mood Disorders (JMOOD)*, *6*(1), 47-8
- Kırali, F. N. & Alcı, B. (2016). Üniversite Öğrencilerinin Uzaktan Eğitim Algısına İlişkin Görüşleri. İstanbul Aydın Üniversitesi Dergisi, 8 (30), 55-83. Retrieved from https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/iaud/issue/30078/324645
- Koehler, M. & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Contemporary Issues In Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 60-70.
- Marek, M. W., Chew, C. S. and Wu, W. C. V. (2021). Teacher Experiences in Converting Classes to Distance Learning in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (IJDET)*, 19(1), 40-60.
- Metin, A. E., Karaman A.,&Şaştım, Y. A. (2017). Öğrencilerin uzaktan eğitim sistemine bakış açısı ve uzaktan eğitim ingilizce dersinin verimliliğinin değerlendirilmesi: Banaz Meslek Yüksekokulu. *Karabük Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*,7(2), 640-652.
- Naralan, A. (2008). E-devlet'e etki eden faktörler. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler* Enstitüsü Dergisi, 12(2), 457-468.
- Özer, M. (2020). *Covid-19 Salgını Sonrası Dünyada Eğitim*. Eğitim Bakanlığı: <u>https://www.meb.gov.tr/covid-19salgini-sonrasi-dunyada-egitim/haber/20936/tr</u>
- Ross, K. (2005). *Quantitative research methods in educational planning.* Paris: UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning.
- Sarı, T., Nayır, F. (2020). Pandemi dönemi eğitim: sorunlar ve fırsatlar. *Turkish Studies,* 15(4), 959-975. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.44335</u>





- Sintema, E. J. (2020). E-Learning and Smart Revision Portal for Zambian Primary and Secondary School Learners: A Digitalized Virtual Classroom in the COVID-19 Era and Beyond. *Aquademia*, 4(2), ep20017. <u>https://doi.org/10.29333/aquademia/8253</u>
- Tekeli, S., & Baş, D. P. (2020). Bilişim Sektöründe Kadının Yeri: Literatür Taraması. *Ekev Akademi* <u>http://www.ekevakademi.org/Makaleler/683952768_29%20Seda%20TEKELI-</u> <u>Didem%20PASAOGLU%20BAS.pdf</u>
- Türkoğlu, R. (2003). İnternet tabanlı uzaktan eğitim programı geliştirme süreçleri. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal Of Educational Technology*, 2(3), 116-125.
- UN (2020). Policy Brief: The Impact of COVID-19 on Women. https://turkey.un.org/sites/default/files/2020-06/policy-brief-the-impact-of-covid-19-on-womenen.pdf.
- UNICEF, UN Women and Plan International (2020). Anew era for girls: Taking stock of 25 years of progress. New York: UNICEF. <u>https://www.unicef.org/media/65586/file/A-new-era-for-girls-2020.pdf</u>
- Yaman, B. (2021). Covid-19 Pandemisi Sürecinde Türkiye Ve Çin'de Uzaktan Eğitim Süreç Ve Uygulamalarının İncelenmesi. *OPUS Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi,* Pandemi Özel Sayısı, 3298-3308. DOI: 10.26466/opus.857131
- Yavuz, M., Kayalı, B., Balat, Ş. & Karaman, S. (2020). Salgin Sürecinde Türkiye'deki Yükseköğretim Kurumlarinin Acil Uzaktan Öğretim Uygulamalarinin İncelenmesi . *Milli Eğitim Dergisi*, Salgın Sürecinde Türkiye'de ve Dünyada Eğitim, 129-154. Doi: 10.37669/Milliegitim.784822
- Yıldırım Şahin, E. (2021). Pandemi sürecinin kadın üniversite öğrencileri üzerindeki etkileri ve uygulanan uzaktan eğitim sürecinde yaşadıkları sorunlar. OPUS–Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi, 17(Pandemi Özel Sayısı), 3245-3275. DOI: 10.26466/opus.828394
- Yurtbakan, E. & Akyıldız, S. (2020). Sınıf öğretmenleri, ilkokul öğrencileri ve ebeveynlerin Covid-19 izolasyon döneminde uygulanan uzaktan eğitim faaliyetleri hakkındaki görüşleri. *Turkish Studies*, *15*(6), 949-977. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.43780</u>
- Zhou, L., Li, F., Wu, S., & Zhou, M. (2020). "School's out, but class's on", the largest online education in the world today: Taking China's practical exploration during the COVID-19 epidemic prevention and control as an example. Best Evidence of Chinese Education, 4 (2), 501-519.





BIODATA and CONTACT ADDRESSES of the AUTHOR/S



Müjgan ERSOY is a Computer Teacher in Ministry of Education. She has been working at vocational high school. She graduated from Kocaeli University, faculty of technical education as a computer teacher in 2009. She completed her non-thesis master's degree in 2021. Her academic interest area is open and distance learning.

Müjgan ERSOY Computer Teacher Address: Buca Mevlana Vocational and Technical Anatolian High School, 35400, İzmir, Turkey Phone: +90 2324200817 E-mail: ersoy.mujgan35@gmail.com



Dr. Belgin BOZ YÜKSEKDAĞ has been working at Anadolu University College of Open Education Testing&Research Center as a lecturer doctor. She graduated from the School of Nursing of Hacettepe University in 1990. She earned her MS degree from the Graduate School of Istanbul University (Health Sciences Department), Internal Medicine Nursing in 1995, and the MA degree from the Graduate School of Anadolu University (Distance Education Department) in 2008. She earned her PhD degree from the Graduate School of Marmara University (Health Sciences Department), Psychiatry Nursing, in 2013.

Belgin BOZ YÜKSEKDAĞ (Dr.) Department Of Health Programs, Open Education Faculty Address: Anadolu University, 26470, Eskisehir, Turkey Phone: +90 222 3350580 E-mail: <u>bboz@anadolu.edu.tr</u> URL: <u>https://akademik.anadolu.edu.tr/bboz</u>